Timestamp	Looking back over today, what have you enjoyed most?	Looking back over today, what have you enjoyed least?	Of the ideas we learned today, which was most interesting?	Of the ideas we learned today, which was unclear to you?	What one change would you make to this workshop?
12/3/2024 17:29:56	Engaging in a common dialog amongst all the members of the group. All the conversations have been very productive and importantly candid.	Some of the discussions occurring amongst the group are evolving into meta physical or very academic level of discussion which at times take away from the concepts themselves.	Rationalizing the differences between nouns and verbs related to concepts. It's critical to understand that the purpose of the concept is an action (verb), while the name can be a noun	Understanding the scale of a concept is still slightly vague to me. While I understand the principles and aspects of a concept, the scale to which it is defined is still hard for me to understand.	More opportunities to put the principles into practice
12/3/2024 17:43:07	Learning the rules on how to recognize a concept		Synchs		Maybe an overview of concepts and what it could do right up front
12/3/2024 17:46:32	Observing people getting on the same page regarding concepts	We have been all over the place when discussing "outcome based BIM"	If the user has poor mental model of the system this may be because the design concepts are poor		Spend more time clarifying State and Actions, with corresponding notation
12/3/2024 18:12:29	Our discussions about how to understand and use the methodology framework	Spiraling in our AEC domains about what our concepts are / are not today as opposed to be forward looking	That the construct of software concepts are not an entirely new way of thinking about our user's mental model but complementing. Specially interesting when thinking about the complexity of what we are trying to achieve with the Forma Industry Cloud as a whole but to get alignment and orchestrate a set of coherent action to progress on our strategies, we have to be able to take our customer problems (JTBD if you like) and break it down into something's that we can agree on that does not encapsulate everything at once and the concept framework could be one of those things where we can come together cross product teams in AEC and align.	How to start a process of actually defining a concept. From a real world scenario? From an internal idea of what a value would be?	Less time on discussing what we have today.
12/3/2024 21:47:38	Opportunity to dive more deeply and interactively into the application of the framework	I enjoyed all of the day even the difficult attempt to disentangle analysis workflows	That successful design can emerge from reimagining concept synchronization	Nothing springs to mind but I would like to get more experience applying the framework	Nothing specific, I'm finding the format engaging and full of insights
12/3/2024 22:07:29	The digging deeper into the different parts of a concept and what counts as a good purpose/operational principle.	Was hoping to actually apply concept definition on something that didn't become a debate on how Autodesk products work.		I think we could have spent more time defining what exactly a scenario is. But it was clear on how scenarios connect to products and concepts.	
12/3/2024 23:17:51	Exploring how the concepts framework applies to Autodesk examples via substantive conversation.	I was unsure how engaged others were around the Outcome-based BIM discussion, as one of the more vocal participants in that conversation.	Using syncs to orchestrate decoupled concepts.	How to decide what should be an entity in a concept, versus a concept. I am having a hard time determining how to scope concepts that are broad enough to be meaningful value, but narrow enough to have singular purposes.	An explanation of the symbology used in the diagrams prior to using the symbols/syntax in examples would've helped my understanding.
12/4/2024 17:09:54	Actually digging into identifying and defining a simple concept (Zoom reactions example)		The whole section about OOP, the problems it creates and how concepts can fix them is extremely fascinating, and a great look into concepts in action.	The quintessential design move section went by a little fast and could use more defining. I'm assuming it refers to the act of reinventing something by reconsidering the concepts it's related to.	
12/4/2024 17:33:14	Applying the concepts to the Zoom example	Walking through the dependency diagram, I left quite confused	Diving into syncs	Classifying and defining subsets	Nothing specific today. Today was quite enjoyable, I'm looking forward to diving into Autodesk concepts tomorrow.
12/4/2024 17:38:48	Discussion of Autodesk concepts that can be decomposed or generalized	Dependency diagrams part	The comparison of naive OOD vs concepts-driven design was great	I'd like to clarify sync notation and semantics	Perhaps spend less time refactoring Zoom concepts
12/4/2024 17:48:29	Finding commonly resonant Concepts, eg, Links	The more explicitly CS focused ways of understanding concepts, eg the exploration of "Classes" and how they are or are not Concepts	The diagrammatic "history of programming" while likely old news to developers, was a great context to understand the fundamental change represented in Concepts approach	I think I'm still not 100% on actions and state as a way to describe Concepts, which leads to not being clear on dependency graphs	I'm a little uncomfortable with the Zoom exercise's muddled approach of re-designing v "archeology". It's a lot of fun, but feels like it would benefit from more focus
12/4/2024 18:25:03	The group exercises refactoring the Zoom concepts	Hmmm enjoyed it all but maybe tuned out a bit in during the more software technical part I believe that was after lunch	Small subtle implementations of a concept in a given product/ context can break the experience.	Synchronization vs dependencies between concepts	Nothing today.
12/4/2024 18:41:40	Refactoring zoom reactions as a practice exercise was fun and useful.	Sometimes it felt like we went too deep on specific Autodesk issues that are tangential to the workshop goals.	dependency mapping	Still a bit unclear on the action and state syntax - I keep reading it as if it represents object methods	Distinguishing concept design from other architectural practices that use similar appearing (but meaningfully different) diagrams would help.
12/5/2024 5:33:32	The zoom activity was a great case study to practice the application of the framework	No real complaints the content remains engaging	The role synchronization plays in capturing the behavioural complexity of the system	Nothing specific	Nothing specific